

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/944,847 08/31/2001		Dietrich Charisius	TS1006	3602	
4678	7590 09/15/2005		EXAMINER		
MACCORD MASON PLLC 300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600			BARQADLE, YASIN M		
P. O. BOX 2	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GREENSBO	RO, NC 27402	•	2153		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/944,847	CHARISIUS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yasin M. Barqadle	2153		

before the rining of all Appear brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Yasin M. Barqadle	2153							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		the issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .									
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati									
	REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , , ,		ance pecause.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:	. (P10/38/08 or P10-1449) Paper	NO(S)							
	•								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Note: Applicant argues that Endo can not anticipate the features of the claimed invention such as "improving the profiles of the resources using data mined from the generated plan. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Endo uses means for allocating a task execution person to the task divided based on a personal data file stored executable tasks and an ability to perform each the executable task, and allocates the tasks to client unit of the task execution person. The personal data file is always renewed based on execution result of the completed tasks.col. 2, lines 64 to col. 3. line11. The work flow manager server unit 18 compiles each created work effort into a task flow composed of a plurality of tasks, allocates each task composing the created task flow to each task execution person and controls an execution sequence of each task as a flow col.4, lines 18-51, the4 evaluation points used by Enod are compiled from such information as (one or more executable (chargeable) tasks, years of experience in each task, an adaptability, knowledge, speediness in performing tasks, an accuracy and an expected value that are stored per each task execution person as shown in FIG. 3) col. 5, lines 19-31 and col. 6, lines 2-14. Endo further teaches monitoring the execution state of each task and automatically modifying ubnormal situations using information on personal data fil stored in the personal data file (mined information) and therefore improving the processing efficiency of the whole work flow system col. 9, lines 42 to col. 10, lines 41.

KRISNA LIM PRIMARY EXAMINER